

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,258	02/19/2002	Jose Maria Serichol Blasco	SERICHOL BLASCO - 1	6097
7590 03/08/2004 COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 Northern Boulevard			EXAMINER	
			SHAH, SAUMIL R	
Roslyn, NY 11576-1696			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2186	
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

7

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/079,258	SERICHOL BLASCO, JOSE MARIA
•	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAIL INC DATE of this community	Saumil Shah	2186
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ncauon appears on the cover sneet w	iun une correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. sto) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of this atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction 	2b) This action is non-final. for allowance except for formal mat	-
Disposition of Claims	oo anaar 2x parto quayro, 1000 C.2	. 11, 100 0.0. 210.
4) Claim(s) 2-8 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by th	re withdrawn from consideration.	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any obje Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	the correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been anal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	PTO-948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. Regarding claim 4, the phrase "such as" is indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

 See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 2-4, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thorpe (US Patent No. 5,276,865).
 - a. With regard to claim 2, Thorpe discloses a system for making automatic backup copies (note column 2, lines 29-30) of selected files (note column 3, lines 8-9 where the user can select what drives are to be backed up and thus selecting the files) stored in a hard drive of at least one computer and storing said copies in a storage unit connected to said computer (note column 2, lines 31-32),

Application/Control Number: 10/079,258 Page 3

Art Unit: 2186

wherein a configurable control software is installed in the hard drive (note column 5, lines 9-10), wherein said control software includes a backup software (note column 5, lines line 38) and wherein when a user interacts with the computer and generates a command for the computer to be switched off (note column 8, lines 17-20 where the user turns the switch to "off" to send the command to the CPU), the control software detects the command and automatically runs the backup software for making the configurable backup copies of selected files from the computer's hard drive to the storage unit (note column 7, lines 17-19 and lines 54-57 where the backup is done automatically), after which the control software switches off the computer by cutting power via a disconnection device (note column 7, lines 60-62).

- b. With regard to claim 3, Thorpe discloses a system according to claim 2, wherein the disconnection device is a power relay. (note column 7, lines 60-62).
- c. With regard to claim 4, Thorpe discloses a system according to claim 2, wherein the disconnection device is built into the computer and controlled by software (note column 8, lines 10-17 where the system is built into the computer), such as an ATX board and an operating system.
- d. With regard to claim 8, Thorpe discloses everything as is described for claims 2-4 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/079,258

Art Unit: 2186

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thorpe (US Patent No. 5,276,865) in view of Langford (US Patent No. 6,574,733).
 - a. With regard to claim 5, Thorpe discloses everything as is described for claim 2 above.

Thorpe fails to disclose a system wherein the backup copies are encrypted.

Langford teaches a system wherein the backup copies are encrypted (note column 6, lines 12-14)

Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have encrypted the backup copies as taught by Langford in the system of Thorpe since this would have increased the security of the backup data.

b. With regard to claim 6, Thorpe discloses everything as is described for claim 2 above.

Thorpe fails to disclose a system wherein the control software is accessed by means of a user security code.

Langford teaches a system wherein the control software is accessed by means of a user security code (note column 6, lines 20-23 where only the user that generated the data has access to the private key. Also, since the user is on

Application/Control Number: 10/079,258

Art Unit: 2186

a network, it is understood that there is a user name / I.D. and password associated with that user to log onto the network).

Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the control software accessed by means of a user security code as taught by Langford to the system of Thorpe since this would have increased the security of the control system by disallowing unauthorized users to use the control software.

c. With regard to claim 7, the combined system of Thorpe/ Langford teaches a system according to claim 6 as described above. Langford further teaches a system wherein the user security code is required to restore the encrypted copies (note column 6, lines 20-24 where only the user that generated the data has the private decryption key).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/079,258

Art Unit: 2186

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saumil Shah whose telephone number is 703-305-8786. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on 703-305-3821. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,258

Art Unit: 2186

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Saumil Shah Patent Examiner

AU: 2186

February 23, 2004

BEHZAD JAMES PEIKARI
PRIMARY EXAMINER